



BAPU TRUST FOR RESEARCH
ON MIND & DISCOURSE

Gender and (~~mental health~~) policy: 'Mental health policy' or 'Development policy'?

Bhargavi V. Davar, Ph.D.

Director, Transforming Communities for Inclusion- Asia
Pacific (<https://tci-asia.org>)

Managing Trustee, Bapu Trust for Research on Mind &
Discourse (<https://www.bapustrust.com>)

Shifting of policy gestalts from the “medical model” to the “social model”

- Special Rapporteur’s Report (July, 2020) A/HRC/44-48
- “Burden of obstacles” - 3 main obstacles *within the mental health system*
- Consequence of converting social problems into medical problems – over medicalization and the use of force
- Peculiarity of colonial mental health systems
- Strongly condemns the medicalization of “coercion”
- Issues in the context of gender and mental health (DV, RSH, LGBTQI+ people)

Reflecting more on the new policy gestalt

- Naming the medical model (quite pervasive, in trainings, in program policies, in government and NGO interventions, etc.) and transforming those questions
- “Mental health and wellbeing” - “mental illness”
- “How many psychiatrists?” “Are psych drugs available in the public health system?” “Do you know a good psychiatrist or a humane institution?”
- “Social determinants cause distress”, medicines may be useful to “tide over” those contingencies
- Tools and Measurements

Policy solutions

Persons with psychosocial disabilities – preferred identity of those of us with enduring or life long lived experiences of trauma, psychosocial distress, disturbance or disability.

If the causes are social determinants, policy solutions must address those, contextualizing programs to address the conditions that are causing distress. [CRPD - SDG framework]

Is a special “mental health law” or “policy” required?







